Discrete Limit Analysis for Framed Structures by using Hybrid-type Penalty Method
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SUMMARY

In this study, bar elements for the hybrid-type penalty method (HPM) are developed. In this method for calculating the displacement field, it is assumed that an independent linear displacement field for the axial direction and an independent third-order displacement field for the bending of each element are combined. This model has six degrees of freedom: strain, gradient of strain, and the rigid-body displacement of the center of gravity of the elements. The continuity conditions of displacement are incorporated by using a penalty function. The elastic solution obtained with this method is consistent with the exact solution. The incremental loading method is used in the proposed discrete limit analysis. Because this method generates each plastic hinge sequentially, the progress of destruction can be followed. Accurate collapse loads and modes were obtained using numerical analysis.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The analysis of framed structures is widely performed using the deformation method (DM). Because this method assumes a third-order displacement field, the elastic solution obtained by this method is consistent with the exact solution. Therefore, it is not necessary to develop a new analysis model for ordinary analysis of framed structures.

However, bar elements are used to model the rod material, the rock bolt into ground, or the reinforcement bars in reinforced concrete. In the case of a two-dimensional problem, the analysis model for reinforced concrete is composed of bar elements for reinforcement bars and a plate element for concrete. When considering the analysis of the progressive failure of reinforced concrete with the hybrid-type penalty method (HPM)1), it is difficult to combine DM analysis of the bar element and HPM analysis of the plate element. The reason is that the displacement fields are different between DM and the HPM 2)-4); the degrees of freedom for DM are defined at nodal points, but the degrees of freedom for HPM are defined in elements.

In this study, bar elements for HPM are developed with the same displacement field and discretization method as the plane element. Additionally, we validated the results with the discrete limit analysis of framed structures5)6).

2. HYBRID-TYPE VIRTUAL WORK EQUATION

Figure 1 shows the deformation of a beam material. In this case, using the equations of equilibrium, strain-displacement, and stress-strain, we can obtain the virtual work equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \delta \varepsilon & N \, dx + \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} \delta \chi M \, dx = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} (\delta u \cdot q + \delta w \cdot p + \delta \theta \cdot m) \, dx \\
&+ (\delta u_a N_a + \delta u_b N_b) + (\delta w_a S_a + \delta w_b S_b) + (\delta \theta_a M_a + \delta \theta_b M_b)
\end{align*}
\]
where \( N(x) \) is the axial force; \( S(x) \) is the shear force; \( M(x) \) is the bending moment; \( p(x) \) is the axial distributed load; \( q(x) \) is the axial vertical distributed load; \( m(x) \) is the distributed moment; \( u \) and \( w \) is rigid displacement; \( \theta \) is the rigid rotation; \( \varepsilon \) is the axial strain; \( \chi \) is the curvature.

\[
\begin{align*}
N_a & \quad \frac{1}{2} \\
S_a & \quad \frac{1}{2} \\
w_a & \quad \theta_a \\
M_a & \quad z(w) \\
S_b & \quad z(w) \\
w_b & \quad \theta_b \\
M_b & \quad 1/2 \\
N_b & \quad x(u)
\end{align*}
\]

**Fig. 1 Degrees of freedom of beam material**

\[
\Omega^{(a)} \quad (a^+ \quad b^-) \quad \Omega^{(b)}
\]

**Fig. 2 Boundary \( \Gamma_{<ab>} \) between subdomains \( \Omega^{(a)} \) and \( \Omega^{(b)} \)**

Figure 2 shows \( \Gamma_{<ab>} \) as the common boundary between two domains \( \Omega^{(a)} \) and \( \Omega^{(b)} \), which must have continuity of displacement. Therefore, the continuity condition of adjacent subdomains is denoted as

\[
\mathbf{u}^{(a)} = \mathbf{u}^{(b)} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{<ab>}
\]

Eq. (2) introduces the continuity condition; the Lagrange multiplier for it is

\[
H_{ab} \quad \text{def.} \quad \lambda \int_{\Gamma_{<ab>}} \mathbf{u}^{(b)} - \mathbf{u}^{(a)} \ dS
\]

From Eqs. (1) and (3), the hybrid-type virtual work equation is obtained:

\[
\sum_{s=1}^{M} \left[ \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} \delta \varepsilon N \ dx + \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} \delta \chi M \ dx - \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} (\delta u \cdot q + \delta w \cdot p + \delta \theta \cdot m) \ dx 
\right]
\]

\[
- (\delta u_a N_a + \delta u_b N_b) - (\delta w_a S_a + \delta w_b S_b) - (\delta \theta_a M_a + \delta \theta_b M_b) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} H_s = 0
\]

3. INTRODUCED DISCRETIZATION EQUATION

3.1 Displacement Field

Figure 3 shows the displacement field of the bar element, where HPM is assumed to be third order. A way by Lee and Filippou\(^5\) is proposed as similar displacement field. The degree of freedom is the center of gravity of the element.

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{x_0} & \quad \varepsilon_{xz} & \quad \varepsilon_{zz} & \quad \theta_0 \\
u_0 & \quad w_0
\end{align*}
\]

**Fig. 3 Degrees of freedom for the bar element in the HPM**

In Figure 3, \( u_0 \) and \( w_0 \) are rigid displacements; \( \theta_0 \) is the rigid rotation; \( \varepsilon_{x_0} \) and \( \varepsilon_{xz} \), \( \varepsilon_{zz} \) are the strain and its gradients, respectively. The displacement at an arbitrary point in the element is

\[
\mathbf{u}^{(e)} = \mathbf{N}^{(e)} \mathbf{d}^{(e)} + \mathbf{N}^{(e)} \mathbf{e}^{(e)} = \mathbf{N}^{(e)} \mathbf{U}^{(e)}
\]
Thus, the displacements at the end points are as follows:

\[ \mathbf{u}^{(e)} = \mathbf{N}_d^{(e)} \mathbf{d}^{(e)} + \mathbf{N}_\varepsilon^{(e)} \varepsilon^{(e)} = \mathbf{N}^{(e)} \mathbf{U}^{(e)} \]  
\[ \mathbf{u}^{(e-)} = \mathbf{N}_d^{(e-)} \mathbf{d}^{(e-)} + \mathbf{N}_\varepsilon^{(e-)} \varepsilon^{(e-)} = \mathbf{N}^{(e)} \mathbf{U}^{(e-)} \]  

Eqs. (6) and (7) are used at \( x = 1/2 \) for \( (e^+) \) and at \( x = -1/2 \) for \( (e^-) \) in Eq. (5). As above, the displacement field of HPM is defined for the degrees of freedom in the element. Therefore, independent displacement fields are assumed in each element.

### 3.2 Relative Displacement and Lagrange Multiplier

In the DM method, the degrees of freedom are defined at nodes, and the connection of adjacent elements is generally treated by having elements share nodes. However, the degrees of freedom for HPM are defined in the elements. Therefore, the methods are connected using the continuity condition. Figure 4 shows the relative displacement between two adjacent elements. Combining Eqs. (6) and (7) yields

\[ \delta_{<ab>} = \mathbf{u}^{(b)} - \mathbf{u}^{(a)} = \mathbf{N}_{<ab>} \mathbf{U}_{<ab>} \]  

where

\[ \mathbf{N}_{<ab>} = [ -\mathbf{N}^{(a)} \mathbf{N}^{(b-)} ] \quad \mathbf{U}_{<ab>} = [ \mathbf{U}^{(a)} \mathbf{U}^{(b-)} ]^t \]

The Lagrange multiplier \( \lambda_{<ab>} \) is the surface force (section force) on the boundary \( \Gamma_{<ab>} \). We define it using the relative displacement \( \delta_{<ab>} \) and the penalty function \( p \) as follows.

\[ \lambda_{<ab>} = p \cdot \delta_{<ab>} \]  

Writing Eq. (9) in its matrix form yields

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{u<ab>} \\ \lambda_{u<ab>} \\ \lambda_{u<ab>} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{u<ab>} \\ \delta_{u<ab>} \\ \delta_{u<ab>} \end{bmatrix} \]  

where \( p_u, p_\varepsilon, \) and \( p_\theta \) are penalty functions. By using a sufficiently large penalty function, the continuity of displacement on the boundary \( \Gamma_{<ab>} \) is maintained.

### 3.3 Discretization Equation

By combining Eqs. (5) through (10) of the discretization equation, an equation of hybrid-type virtual work like Eq. (4) can be obtained; furthermore, it can be written in a form compatible with HPM. The equation of virtual work is

\[ \delta W^{(e)} = \delta \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^{(e)} \mathbf{U} - \delta \mathbf{U} \mathbf{P}^{(e)} - \delta \mathbf{U} \mathbf{P}_M^{(e)} \]  

The continuity condition is
Therefore, the equation of hybrid-type virtual work is

\[ \delta H_{\text{hybrid}} = -\delta U^T K_{\text{hybrid}} U \] (12)

Finally, by assuming an arbitrary non-trivial value for the virtual displacement \( \delta U \), the discretization equation can be obtained:

\[ KU = P \] (14)

\[ K = \sum_{c=1}^{M} D^{(c)} + \sum_{s=1}^{N} K_{<s>} \]

\[ P = \sum_{c=1}^{M} \left( P_f^{(c)} + P_m^{(c)} \right) \]

4. PIN ELEMENT

4.1 Discretization Equation

(1) Linearly Connecting Bar

In the case of linearly connecting bar elements as in Figure 4, the coefficient matrix \( K \) is as follows:

\[ K^{(a)} + K^{(b)} + K_{\text{cab}} = \begin{bmatrix} t N^{(a)} p N^{(a)} + E^{(a)} & -t N^{(a)} p N^{(b)} \\ -t N^{(b)} p N^{(a)} & t N^{(b)} p N^{(b)} + E^{(b)} \end{bmatrix} \] (15)

where

\[ E^{(c)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & E I^3 / 12 \end{bmatrix} \]

However, adjacent rod materials are mostly connected at angles, and the displacement field of HPM is defined for the degrees of freedom in the bar element. Therefore, it is necessary to include information on the connecting angles into the coefficient matrix of Eq. (15).

(2) Pin Element Connected to the End

Figure 5 shows how we develop pin elements that do not have length and mass. In the case of a two-dimensional problem, there are three degrees of freedom for the pin element: rigid displacement and rigid rotation.

\[ \delta_{\text{cab}} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{0}^{(a)} \\ u_{0}^{(a)} \\ u_{0}^{(b)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{0}^{(a)} \\ u_{0}^{(a)} \\ u_{0}^{(b)} \end{bmatrix} \] (16)

where \( I \) is an identity matrix. The continuity condition is
The element stiffness matrix is the sum

\[ K^{(e)} U^{(e)} = E^{(e)} U^{(e)} \]  (18)

Therefore, the coefficient matrix with a pin on the left-end is as follows:

\[ K^{(e)} U^{(e)} + K <\text{bc}> U_{<\text{bc}>} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & -p N^{(e)} \\ -p N^{(e)^T} & p N^{(e)^T} + E^{(e)} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d^{(a)} \\ U^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} \]  (19)

Similarly, in the case where the pin element is at the right-end of bar element "b", as in Figure 6, the coefficient matrix is

\[ K^{(e)} U^{(e)} + K <\text{bc}> U_{<\text{bc}>} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p & -p N^{(e)^T} \\ -p N^{(e)^T} & p N^{(e)^T} + E^{(e)} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d^{(b)} \\ U^{(e)} \end{bmatrix} \]  (20)

Fig. 6 Degrees of freedom for a pin element connected to the right-end

4.2 Coordinate Transformation

In Figure 7, we consider the case of a connecting pin element between two bar elements.

First, we consider the bar element "1" on the left side of the pin element. The displacement of the pin element has transformed the coordinates of the local coordinate system of bar element "1" as

\[ d^{(0)} = \Phi^{(1)} d^{(0)} \]  (21)

Writing Eq. (21) in its matrix form yields

\[ \begin{bmatrix} u_{01} \\ W_{01} \\ \theta_{01} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha_1 & \sin \alpha_1 & 0 \\ -\sin \alpha_1 & \cos \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U_0 \\ W_0 \\ \Theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \]  (22)

Thus, the coefficient matrix corresponding to Eq. (19) becomes

\[ K^{(1)} U^{(1)} + K_{<\text{bc}>} U_{<\text{bc}>} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi^{(1)} p r^{(1)} & -\Phi^{(1)} p N^{(1)^T} \\ -p N^{(1)^T} \Phi^{(1)} & \Phi^{(1)} p N^{(1)^T} + E^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d^{(0)} \\ U^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \]  (23)

Next, we consider the bar element "2" on the right side of the pin element. The displacement of the pin element has transformed the coordinates of the local coordinate system of bar element "2" as
Writing Eq. (24) in its matrix form yields

\[
d^{(02)} = r^{(2)} d^{(0)}
\]  

Similarly, the coefficient matrix corresponding to Eq. (20) becomes

\[
K^{(2)} U^{(2)} + K_{\text{material}} U_{\text{material}} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{t r^{(2)} p r^{(2)}}{N^{(2)} + p N^{(2)}} & 0 & d^{(0)} \\
0 & \frac{t r^{(2)} p N^{(2)}}{N^{(2)} + p N^{(2)}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

5.1 Material Nonlinear Analysis

In discrete limit analysis, the failure condition of a bar model has following forms:

\[
f(M) = \left( \frac{M_a}{M_y} \right)^2 - 1
\]  

where \( M_y \) is a plastic bending moment. If a plastic hinge will occur, the bending moment on intersection boundary is assumed to be zero:

\[
f(M) = 0
\]  

For this case, we can obtain incremental bending moment as follows:

\[
\Delta M_n = k^{(p)} \Delta \delta
\]  

From Eq. (29), the penalty function is

\[
k^{(p)}_{ij} = k^{(e)}_{ij} + \frac{1}{\Sigma k^{(e)}_{ij}} f_i f_j k^{(e)}_{ij} k^{(e)}_{ij}
\]  

Load at the \((i+1)th\) step can be calculated by using the load at the \(i-th\) step:

\[
P^{(i+1)} = (1 - r_i) P^i
\]  

where \( r_i \) is a rate of load increment which we can calculate using this equation:

\[
f(M_n + r \cdot \Delta M_n) = 0
\]  

After solving following equation:

\[
\left( \frac{M_n + r \cdot \Delta M_n}{M_y} \right) - 1 = 0
\]  

We will obtain \( r \):

\[
r = \frac{M_y + M_n}{\Delta M_n}
\]  

In case of bending moment, residual load at the \(n-th\) step will be:

\[
P^{(n)} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} [(1 - r_i)] \Delta P
\]  

Cumulative rate of increment is as follows:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} [(1 - r_i)] \right) r_k
\]  

When \( t_{\text{TOTAL}} \cdot \) iteration is finish.
5.2 Example of a Single-Story Rigid Frame Structure

Figure 9 shows a single-story rigid frame structure with fixed ends, acted upon by a horizontal load. The even-numbered elements are bar elements, and the odd-numbered elements are pin elements. The horizontal load is applied to pin element number 3. Material properties show in Table 1.

![Fig. 9 Example single-story rigid frame structure](image)

Table 1 Material properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus E</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic shear modulus G</td>
<td>76.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional area A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia of area I</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic bending moment My</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 10 Load-displacement curve and the sequence of generated plastic hinges](image)

Figure 10 shows the load-displacement curve for this example. The break points in the graph denote the generation of plastic hinges. In the figure of the model, the number is the order in which they occur.

The resulting collapse from the loading and the generated plastic hinge points match the theoretical solution.

Figure 11 shows bending moment at collapse.

![Fig. 11 shows bending moment at collapse](image)
5.3 Example of a two-Story Rigid Frame Structure

Figure 12 shows a two-story rigid frame structure with fixed ends, acted upon by a horizontal load. The even-numbered elements are bar elements, and the odd-numbered elements are pin elements. The horizontal loads are applied to pin elements number 3 and 9. Material properties show in Table 2.

![Fig. 12 Example two-story rigid frame structure](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Material properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic shear modulus G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional area A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia of area I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic bending moment M_y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pillars (②⑥⑧⑫)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beams (④⑩)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 13 Load-displacement curve and the sequence of generated plastic hinges](image)

Figure 13 shows the load-displacement curve for this example. The resulting collapse from the loading and the generated plastic hinge points match the theoretical solution. Figure 14 shows bending moment at collapse.
5.4 Example of a two-Story Rigid Frame Structure with Horizontal and Vertical Loads

Figure 15 shows a two-story rigid frame structure with, acted upon by horizontal and vertical loads. The even-numbered elements are bar elements, and the odd-numbered elements are pin elements. The horizontal loads are applied to pin elements number 3 and 11. The vertical loads are applied to beam elements number 5 and 13. Material properties show in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elastic modulus E</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic shear modulus G</td>
<td>76.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional area A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment of inertia of area I</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic bending moment My Pillars and beams of first story (②④⑥⑧)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic bending moment My Pillars of second story (⑫⑭)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic bending moment My Beams of second story (⑩⑭)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 16 shows the load-displacement curve for this example. The resulting collapse from the loading and the generated plastic hinge points match the theoretical solution. Figure 17 shows bending moment at collapse.
6. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, bar elements for the hybrid-type penalty method were developed with the same discretization as the plate element. In this method for computing the displacement field, it is assumed that an independent linear displacement field for the axial direction and an independent third-order displacement field for the bending of each element are combined. Therefore, there are six degrees of freedom, which is the same number as for the ordinary displacement method. With a sufficiently large penalty function, the continuity of displacement is retained on the boundary, and the obtained displacement solution is the same as that obtained from the displacement method.

When the bar elements are connected at angles, a pin element is used to consider the coordinate transformation. There are three degrees of freedom for each pin element: rigid displacement and rigid rotation on the global coordinate system.

In the proposed discrete limit analysis, nonlinear material properties are used for plastic hinges, and the load incremental method is used. Validating the method with rigid frame structures with fixed ends, accurate collapse loads and modes are obtained, matching the theoretical solutions.

Therefore, the new bar element for HPM is validated, and we expect that the proposed method can be combined with the plate element in the progressive discrete analysis of HPM.
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